## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

# COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **DIVISION ONE**

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In re A.A., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.	
THE PEOPLE,	D043813
Plaintiff and Respondent,	(Super. Ct. No. J202955)
V.	
A.A.,	
Defendant and Appellant.	

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, William H. Kennedy, Judge. Affirmed as modified.

The juvenile court entered true findings that A.A. had committed second degree robbery (Pen. Code, §§ 211, 212.5, subd. (c)) (count one), grand theft (Pen. Code, § 487, subd. (c)) (count two), and assault with a deadly weapon or by means of force likely to produce great bodily injury (Pen. Code, § 245, subd. (a)(1)) (count three). It declared

him a ward and placed him on probation under home supervision. A.A. appeals, contending that the court erred by making true findings of both robbery and grand theft, because grand theft is a necessarily included offense of robbery and convictions for both offenses based on the same conduct are prohibited. The People properly concede the point.

### **BACKGROUND**

Around 8:00 p.m. on October 7, 2003, five or six boys approached Irene Barajas on the street. One of the boys, A.A., asked her for \$5. The others surrounded her, blocking her way. A.A. told Barajas that if she did not give him money, he would cause her problems. He grabbed her by the neck, hit her on the head, and threw her to the ground. One of the boys took the workbag Barajas was carrying on her shoulder. The bag contained her uniform blouse, sandals, and a spray bottle. The property was worth about \$55. Barajas suffered a broken finger and an injured shoulder.

### DISCUSSION

A defendant charged with both robbery and theft, based on the same conduct, may not be convicted of both offenses. (*People v. Ortega* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 686, 690, 694, 697-699) Here, the robbery count alleged that on October 7, 2003, A.A. took property from Barajas's person, possession, and immediate presence by means of force and fear. The grand theft count alleged that on the same date, he took property from her person. As described above, both offenses occurred as a single incident, with one item taken from one victim. The juvenile court therefore erred by entering true findings of both robbery and grand theft.

# DISPOSITION

The judgment is modified by striking the tru	e finding of grand theft (Pen. Code,
§ 487, subd. (c)) (count three). As so modified, the	judgment is affirmed.
	BENKE, J.
WE CONCUR:	
HALLER, J.	
AARON, J.	